

South-Eastern working group - Discussion results

Stelios Piperidis



- PS-NAP for Czech her resigned
- PS-NAP Croatia pending decision

- EC's decision to interconnect the digital infrastructures, eTranslation among them. It is a horizontal service that spans all others
- Hungary experience: Local officials sometimes do not know that they are CEF coordinators
- Retrieving information on the reuse of eTranslation by other DSIs and services is not easy
- The ELRC and NAPs role is crucial: we have to identify the right stakeholders and create the network

- Reuse of eTranslation: currently the DSIs use eTranslation only to translate metadata. The NAPs would like more information on the scope of eTranslation reuse by DSIs. E.g. Will the DSIs use eTranslation to translate free text?

- There are many channels through which the EC tries to collect data
- ERLC: 3 modes: donations, workshop subcontracts, data aggregation through crawling
- No crawled data within the subcontracts (overlap problems)
- Legal documentation should be attached to the data contributed

- Introduction to the list of available tools
- This task is mainly for the Technology NAPs, not the PS NAPs



- Suggested additions:
 - question if the LSPs share their TMs (outsourced translations)
 - indicate also the persons responsible for the Digital Single Market, Information Society
 - The stakeholders position grouping is not very helpful (one cannot evaluate if a stakeholder is harmful)
- On-site assistance: will it be performed with the help of the NAPs? How?